The effect of neuroleptic derivatives of butyrophenone on the uptake of 5-HT by human blood platelets

G. F. OXENKRUG, Laboratory of Psycho-pharmacology, Bekhterev Psychoneurological Research Institute, Leningrad C-19, ul. Bekhterereva 3, U.S.S.R.

The uptake of 5-HT by blood platelets is a useful model for the neuronal uptake of 5-HT (Paasonen, 1965) and it is generally accepted that impramine-like drugs are the most potent inhibitors of this uptake (Tuomisto, 1974). Neuroleptic derivatives of phenothiazine inhibit the uptake of 5-HT by human (Todrick & Tait, 1969) and rabbit (Ahtee & Paasonen, 1968) blood platelets. However, the influence of derivatives of butyrophenone on the uptake of 5-HT by blood platelets has not been studied. I have therefore examined the influence of five derivatives of butyrophenone on the uptake of 5-HT by human blood platelets.

The rate of uptake was evaluated by the rise of 5-HT content in platelets during 5 min incubation of 1 ml of platelet rich plasma with 1 μ g 5-HT at 37° (Oxenkrug, 1975). 5-HT content in platelets was determined spectrofluorimetrically (Oxenkrug, 1973). IC50 values were calculated by Dr V. N. Amatuni according to the program published by Finney (1972).

In each experiment a blood pool from 2-3 healthy donors was used. All drugs were dissolved in saline and added (in 0.1 ml) to platelet-rich plasma 5 min before the 5-HT.

The derivatives of butyrophenone inhibited the uptake of 5-HT, though not as strongly as imipramine (see Table 1).

The inhibiting effect of the derivatives on 5-HT uptake by blood platelets suggest that they could inhibit 5-HT reuptake in neurons. This supposition corresponds with the observation of Kannengiesser, Hunt & Raynaud (1973) that haloperidol inhibited the uptake of ¹⁴C-5-HT by synaptosomes from rat brain. The inhibition of 5-HT reuptake from the synaptic cleft to

Table 1. The effect of compounds on the uptake of 5-HT by human blood platelets.

	Inhibition of 5-HT uptake in $\%$ (means \pm s.e. from 6 exp.)*			IC50
	10-6 м	10-8 м	3×10-8 м	(means ± s.e.)
Imipramine	71-85 + 4-53	91.66 +2.12		4.52×10-7 +0.93×10-7**
Trifluperidol	34.00 + 5.75	64·67 + 3·39	75·00 +1·75	3.46×10-4 +0.86+10-4+
Spiramid	22·17 +6·16	48·67 ±3·96	70.84 ± 2.94	8·47 × 10-• +0·17 × 10-•
Fluanisone	31.34 ± 3.96	44·17 +± 5·45	62·34 + 2·21	1.10×10-4 +0.53×10-4
Haloperidol	16.84 + 4.25	45·54 +4·56	67·00 +4·65	1.13×10-5 +0.21×10-5
Droperidol	23·67 ±4·97	$\frac{40.00}{\pm 4.23}$	56.60 ± 1.22	1.90×10-* ±0.60×10-****

• All results are statistically significant (P < 0.02, Student's t-test)in comparison with control (saline instead of drugs). •• Statistically significant (P < 0.01) in comparison with each drug. ** Statistically significant (P < 0.01) in comparison with imi-pramine, trifluoperidol and spiramid.

the presynaptic nerve ending enhances the quantity of 5-HT molecules that influence the postsynaptic receptors (Modigh, 1973). Thus, the inhibition of 5-HT uptake by haloperidol and its derivatives supports their 5-HTpositive effect.

At present the antimanic action of haloperidol is associated primarily with its ability to block dopaminergic receptors (Janssen, 1967). According to the 'permissive' hypothesis of Prange (1974) the 5-HT-positive effect is a component of antimanic action. The data obtained allow the suggestion that the 5-HT-positive effect of haloperidol and its derivatives may play a definite role in the drug's antimanic activity.

February 20, 1978

REFERENCES

AHTEE, L. & PAASONEN, M. K. (1968). Ann. Med. exp. Biol. Fenn., 46, 416-422.

FINNEY, D. F. (1972). Probit Analysis, Cambridge: University Press.

JANSSEN, P. A. (1967). Int. J. Neuropsychiat., 3 (Suppl. 1), 10-18.

KANNENGIESSER, M. H., HUNT, P. & RAYNAUD, J.-P. (1973). Biochem. Pharmac., 22, 73-84.

MODIGH, K. (1973). Int. med. res., 1, 274-280.

OXENKRUG, G. F. (1973). Vop. med. Khim. (SSSR), 19, 328-330.

OXENKRUG, G. F. (1975). Bull. exp. biol. med. (SSSR), 80, 74-77.

PAASONEN, M. K. (1965). J. Pharm. Pharmac., 17, 681-697.

PRANGE, A. J. (1974). Archs Gen. Psychiat., 30, 56-62.

TODRICK, A. & TAIT, A. C. (1969). J. Pharm. Pharmac., 21, 751-762.

Тиомізто, J. (1974). Ibid., 26, 92-100.